

Minutes
Senate Scholastic Standards Committee
November 30, 2006
(Approved 1/25/2007)

Members: (attending members in bold): **Andrew Moiseff (Chair), John Bennett**, Jason Berger, Robert Casapulla, **Gerald Gianutsos, Lynne Goodstein, Lawrence Gramling**, Kristin Kelly, Diane Lillo-Martin, **Dennis McGavran, Kathryn Myers, Thomas Recchio**, Stuart Sidney, Jeffrey von Munwitz-Smith, **Lauren Smith**, David Wagner, Robert Weiner

1. The 11/17/06 minutes were approved

2. INTD course review update: A meeting attended by J. Manning (Chair, CLAS C&C), M. (Director, IISP), R. Rockwell (CLAS C&C and INTD C&C), D. Moss (Chair, INTD C&C), A. Moiseff (Chair, Senate Scholastic Standards) and D. Hamilton (Assoc. Dean, CLAS) was held on 11/27 to discuss concerns about the process for approving INTD courses that was adopted by the Senate last year. CLAS was concerned that the process was flawed because, in their opinion, courses needed by be accredited by a school or college; the INTD C&C did not represent a school or college and therefore did not have the authority to accredit courses. The associated issue of course ‘ownership’ and the long-term ‘survival’ of courses were also raised.

All parties were interested in coming up with a solution for the approval of INTD courses that respected the role of schools and colleges in the course approval process and also satisfied academic and programmatic needs associated with programs that make use of INTD courses.

Before trying to solve the problem it was decided that it would be prudent to find out how other Schools and Colleges felt about the INTD approval process. Assoc. Provost. Makowsky convened a meeting with representatives of School/College C&C committees, IISP/INTD C&C, SSSC and programs using the INTD courses. This meeting, scheduled for Dec. 18, will allow us to have a better understanding of the issues involved in INTD course approval and possible solutions.

3. Cross-college dual major update: Dean MacKinnon will present the proposal for discussion at the Dec. 4 meeting of the Dean’s Council to see whether any by-law concerning this proposal should take into consideration other Schools/Colleges in addition to Education and CLAS.

4. Attendance policy update: Student Welfare and Faculty Standards have received our revised draft and will discuss it at their next meeting.

5. The Academic Integrity policy was discussed. Minor modifications were suggested and will be incorporated into a new draft. Among the concerns was whether we could prevent students from withdrawing from a course in which they have been accused of misconduct? A revised draft will be prepared for the next meeting.